

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/975,982	11/21/1997	MARTINE CERUTTI	989.6442 1310	
22469 7	590 06/13/2002	•		
SCHNADER HARRISON SEGAL & LEWIS, LLP 1600 MARKET STREET SUITE 3600			EXAMINER	
			GUZO, DAVID	
PHILADELPH	IIA, PA 19103	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Ì		1	1636	TATER NOMBER
1 1 1			DATE MAILED: 06/13/2002	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		08/975,982	CERUTTI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		David Guzo	1636			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) 🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 A</u>	April 2002 .				
-,/⊒ 2a)⊠	·	is action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		rosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
, —	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.					
· · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
1	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 November 1997</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ⊠ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachme	nt(s)		Λ			
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
U.S. Patent and	Trademark Office	Contraction and the contraction of the contraction	Port of Paner No. 8			

Application/Control Number: 08/975,982

Art Unit: 1636

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Paul et al.

Applicants claim an immunoglobulin obtained by the method of claim 10. Claim 10 recites a method for preparing at least one part of an immunoglobulin H chain.

Paul et al. (U.S. Patent 5,229,272, issued 7/20/93, see whole document, particularly paragraph bridging columns 6-7, columns 11-12) recites the preparation of immunoglobulin H chain polypeptides. Paul et al. therefore teaches the claimed invention. In product by process claims, it is the patentability of the product that is considered. The patentability of the product does not depend upon the method by which it is made. If the product in a product by process claim is the same or obvious from a product in the prior art, the claim is unpatentable, absence evidence to the contrary, even though it is made by a different process (See MPEP 2113).

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 08/975,982

Art Unit: 1636

Claim 1 (and dependent claims) are vague in that the first and second expression cassettes recited in the claim are not preceded by the article "a".

Claim 10 (and dependent claims) are vague in that there is no antecedent basis for the term "said second baculovirus promoter" in the claim. Claim 10 is also vague in the recitation of the phrase "...culturing said at least one insect cell in culture medium; insect cells in accordance with Claim 9..." as this phrase makes no sense.

The requirement for a Substitute Declaration is withdrawn in view of the Application Data Sheet (ADS) filed by applicants on 4/3/02.

The Substitute Specification filed 4/3/02 has been entered.

The Hasemann et al. reference (PNAS, 1990, Vol. 87, pp. 3942-3946) is cited as the closest prior art.

No Claims are allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 1636

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Guzo whose telephone number is (703) 308-1906. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Irem Yucel, can be reached on (703) 305-1998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4242. Faxes may be sent directly to the Examiner at (703) 746-5061.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding or relating to attachments to this Office Action should be directed to Patent Analyst Zeta Adams whose telephone number is (703) 305-3291.

David Guzo June 11, 2002

DAVID GUZO IMARY EXAMINER